



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER 18M2/1120	FILING DATE 12/15/94	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT WEGMAN	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. E WEG2
----------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------

ROLAND PLOTTEL  
ROCKEFELLER CENTER STATION  
P O BOX 293  
NEW YORK NY 10185

18M2/1120

EXAMINER WITZ, J	
ART UNIT 1808	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/20/96

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

**Advisory Action**

Application No.

08/356,112

Applicant(s)

Wegman et al.

Examiner

Jean C. Witz

Group Art Unit

1808



## THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]

- a) ☒ expires six months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- ☐ Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on \_\_\_\_\_ (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on Nov 6, 1996 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

- ☒ The proposed amendment(s):
- ☐ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
- ☒ will not be entered because:
- ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
- ☒ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
- ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: Issues of fat metabolism of the the tissue treated by the claimed method raise issues of new matter and raise issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

- ☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

- ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
- ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
Arguments drawn to potential dangers of liposuction have no bearing on the patentability of the claims of record and the rejection under 35 USC 103 is maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office actions.
- ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
- ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

Claims allowed: noneClaims objected to: noneClaims rejected: 1-18

- ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.
- ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Other

JEAN C. WITZ  
PATENT EXAMINER  
GROUP 1800